

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/567,424	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MULLEY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> DANIEL E. KOLKER	<b>Art Unit</b> 1649	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Daniel Kolker (USPTO). (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Chris Perkins (att'y for applicant). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 20 October 2011.

Type:    ☒ Telephonic    ☐ Video Conference  
           ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant    ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:    ☐ Yes    ☐ No.  
     If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Issues Discussed    ☐ 101    ☐ 112    ☐ 102    ☐ 103    ☒ Others  
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 1,9,12,14 and 33.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Substance of Interview**  
 (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

On 19 October 2011 Examiner Kolker emailed proposed changes to the above-listed claims. On 20 October 2011 Mr. Perkins called to indicate that the changes were acceptable. Additional changes were discussed by subsequent email messages and phone calls; Mr. Perkins indicated via email on 10/21/11 that the changes were acceptable. Specific changes are in the attached office action

Additionally, the matter of the reference which was crossed out from the IDS was discussed (see office action mailed 11 February 2011 and remarks filed 11 August 2011, in particular p. 12 final paragraph). Mr. Perkins indicated that the subject matter disclosed by the Australian reference was duplicative of another reference already considered, and therefore a supplemental IDS will not be filed.

**Applicant recordation instructions:** It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

**Examiner recordation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/DANIEL E. KOLKER/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1649	
---	--